1	Randall R. Walton, Esq. (SBN: 187916)						
2	WALTON LAW FIRM 338 Via Vera Cruz, Suite 250						
3	San Marcos, CA 92078 Telephone: (760) 571-5500 Facsimile: (760) 571-5501						
4	Facsimile: (760) 571-5501 E-Mail: rwalton@legalpad.com						
5	Attorneys for Plaintiffs						
6							
7							
8	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT					
9	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA						
10							
11	B. VEASLEY, a minor, by and through her Guardian ad Litem, RODNEY VEASLEY;) CASE NO. <u>'12 CV3053 WQHWVG</u>					
12	and MILDRED VEASLEY,)) COMPLAINT FOR PERSONAL INJURIES					
13	Plaintiffs,)					
14	v.) Federal Tort Claims Act) 28 U.S.C. Section 2671, et seq.					
15	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, and DOES 1 through 20, inclusive,	28 U.S.C. Section 1346(b), et seq.28 U.S.C. Section 1367					
16	Defendants.) 28 U.S.C. 1402(b), et seq.					
17)					
18	COME NOW plaintiffs, B. VEASLEY	Y, a minor, by and through her Guardian ad					
19	Litem, RODNEY VEASLEY; and MILDRE	D VEASLEY, and for causes of action against					
20	defendants, allege as follows:						
21	INTRODUCTION						
22	1. The injuries and damages upon which this action is based occurred at the						
23	Naval Hospital Camp Pendleton and Naval N	Medical Clinic in Camp Pendleton, California.					
24	2. RODNEY VEASLEY is the m	inor plaintiff's father. At the time of the events					
25	leading to this action he was on active duty v	with the United States Marine Corps. His					
26	dependents are therefore entitled to receive n	nedical care from defendant UNITED STATES					
27	OF AMERICA.						
28	///						
		-1-					

- 3. Plaintiff MILDRED VEASLEY ("MS. VEASLEY") has been married to RODNEY VEASLEY for ten (10) years, and was married to RODNEY VEASLEY at all times relevant to this complaint. Plaintiff MS.VEASLEY is therefore entitled to receive medical care from defendant UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.
- 4. Plaintiff B. VEASLEY is a dependent child of RODNEY VEASLEY, and as such is entitled to receive medical care from defendant UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.
- 5. At all times herein mentioned, defendant UNITED STATES OF AMERICA was, and now is, a sovereign entity responsible for the management and operation of the Naval Hospital and Naval Medical Clinic, in Camp Pendleton, California.
- 6. This action is brought under the provisions of the Federal Tort Claims Act, 28 U.S.C. Section 2671, *et seq.*, and 28 U.S.C. Section 1346(b), *et seq.*, to provide subject matter jurisdiction. Further, this court has supplemental jurisdiction over DOE defendants 1 through 20 in accordance with 28 U.S.C. Section 1367.
- 7. On or about January 28, 2011, plaintiffs B. VEASLEY and MS. VEASLEY submitted a claim to the Department of the Navy, Tort Claims Unit. Defendant UNITED STATES OF AMERICA denied said claim on July 6, 2012.
- 8. The residence and domicile of plaintiffs MS. VEASLEY and B. VEASLEY was at all times applicable the County of San Diego, State of California, Southern District of California, in accordance with 28 U.S.C. Section 1402(b).
- 9. The amount in controversy herein, exclusive of interest and costs, exceeds the sum of \$10,000.00.
- 10. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate, or otherwise of defendants DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, are unknown to plaintiffs, who therefore sue said defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiffs will amend this complaint to show the true names and capacities when they have been ascertained. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that each of the defendants designated herein as a DOE is legally responsible in some manner for events and happenings herein referred to and caused the damages herein alleged.

and employees of defendants acting in the course and scope of said agency and employment and with the knowledge and consent of defendants. Further, defendants are estopped from denying their liability for the facts and conduct alleged as a result of their affirmative misrepresentation and affirmative concealment of material facts regarding the circumstances giving rise to plaintiffs' injuries, including but not limited to acts of evasion, falsehood, and the alteration of documents relating to the identity and status of personnel who provided care and treatment to plaintiffs B. VEASLEY, and MS. VEASLEY.

FACTS RELEVANT TO ALL CAUSES OF ACTION

- 12. On or about September 9, 2008, MS.VEASLEY came under the care and treatment of defendants for placement of an intrauterine device (IUD), a form of birth control. Defendant performed a urine test for pregnancy at the clinic which came back negative and it inserted an IUD without difficulty.
- 13. On October 31, 2008, MS. VEASLEY again presented to the Camp Pendleton medical clinic to have her IUD and pregnancy test results checked with suspicion that she was pregnant. A pelvic ultrasound was conducted which confirmed the presence of one fetus. The IUD, placed only six weeks earlier, could not be seen on the ultrasound.
- 14. MS. VEASLEY was asked to return to return the following week for an ultrasound to identify the location of the IUD. A second ultrasound was performed and no IUD found.
- 15. The examining physician's Assessment and Plan notes state that plaintiff had a misplaced IUD, likely expelled soon after placement, and that she should be followed by OB for supervision of normal pregnancy.
- 16. Maintaining a pregnancy with an IUD in place put MS. VEASLEY and B. VEASLEY at risk.

1

27 || ///

28 | ///

- 17. On or about January 10, 2009, MS. VEASLEY began to experience cramping and contractions she believed was related to her pregnancy. She was seen the following evening at the Naval Medical Center on Camp Pendleton and, after an examination, was diagnosed with false labor and sent home. She was released without limitation.
- 18. After returning home from her medical visit, MS. VEASLEY continued to have cramping and pain throughout the night.
- 19. The following morning, January 12, 2009, MS. VEASLEY noticed blood in the toilet after urinating. She also noted that her contractions and pain were increasing, so she returned to the Naval Medical Center on Camp Pendleton. After an ultrasound and other testing was performed, MS. VEASLEY was reassured that the bleeding and cramping was not serious, and that B. VEASLEY was not at risk, and she was discharged home without limitation.
- 20. Throughout the day on January 12, 2009, MS. VEASLEY continued to cramp and bleed, and after a small "gush of blood" in the late afternoon she called the Naval Medical Center at Camp Pendleton to advise. Over the phone, caregivers instructed MS. VEASLEY to "rest and hydrate." No other instructions were given.
- 21. Later that evening, as cramping and bleeding worsened, MS. VEASLEY presented to Medical Center at Camp Pendleton again. Testing was performed, revealing pooling of blood in the posterior fornix, and bright blood was noted to be oozing from the cervix. MS. VEASLEY was sent home and advised to go on bed rest with "complete pelvic rest" until week 25 of her pregnancy.
- 22. Over the next week, MS. VEASLEY continued to experience cramping and bleeding.
- 23. On or about January 23, 2009, she presented to Naval Medical Center on Camp Pendleton as a follow up to her earlier visits. She reported her continued cramping and vaginal bleeding. An exam was performed and MS. VEASLEY was sent home on bed rest. She was promised she would be followed closely.

///

- 24. Two days later, on January 25, 2009, MS. VEASLY returned to Naval Medical Center on Camp Pendleton for continuing worsening vaginal bleeding and contractions. She was discharged without medications and sent home.
- 25. At approximately 8:30 a.m. on January 28, 2009, MS. VEASLEY walked to the Naval Medical Center on Camp Pendleton in disabling pain, suffering contractions, and continued (and increasing) vaginal bleeding. She was examined, and again sent home with no further treatment. Before leaving, MS. VEASLEY's husband RODNEY VEASLEY asked if he could obtain a second opinion after so many visits to the hospital with no explanation of the cause of MS. VEASLEY's continued bleeding and contractions, but he was informed by the hospital staff that it "wasn't sure" how to obtain a second opinion.
- 26. On the morning of January 30, 2009, MS. VEASLEY awoke to heavy contractions and continued bleeding. Her husband called the Naval Medical Center on Camp Pendleton and explained what was going on, and asked if there were any pain medications MS. VEASLEY could take. He was told no. At approximately 7:30 a.m., MS. VEASLEY began to scream in pain, so RODNEY VEASLEY, tired of getting no help from the on-base healthcare providers, called 911.
- 27. While paramedics were in route, MS. VEASLEY, with the help of her husband, spontaneously delivered B. VEASLEY, on the family bed. B. VEASLEY was born prematurely at only 23 6/7 weeks and weighed 1 lb., 8oz.
- 28. B. VEASLEY was transported by helicopter to Rady's Children's Hospital, where she was diagnosed with a brain hemorrhage and required a ventilator.
- 29. MS. VEASLEY was taken via ambulance to Naval Medical Center on Camp Pendleton, where pitocin was promptly administered, causing her to discharge two large clots, one of which contained the IUD.

	5	
-	J	_

30. Because of extreme low birth weight and prematurity resulting in multisystem problems, including but not limited to, respiratory problems, brain hemorrhage, anemia, jaundice, sepsis, kidney problems, eye problems, and electrolyte imbalance, B. VEASLEY has suffered permanent neurologic damage as a result of brain bleeding and hypotension/respiratory distress, causing permanent motor and cognitive deficits.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Negligence)

- 31. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 30, herein above, as though set forth fully herein.
- 32. Defendants so negligently and carelessly cared for and treated, as well as negligently supervised the care and treatment of, plaintiffs B. VEASLEY and MILDRED VEASLEY, so as to cause plaintiffs to suffer severe injuries.
- 33. The negligence and carelessness of defendants, in both the placement of an IUD and in providing prenatal care, consisted of the failure to use, and to insist upon the use of, that degree of skill and care ordinarily used by health care professionals engaged in the practice of their profession in the same or similar locality and under the same or similar circumstances.
- 34. As a legal result of the negligence and carelessness of defendants, plaintiff B. VEASLEY was born prematurely, and was hurt and injured in her health, strength, and activity, sustaining injuries to her body and shock and injury to her nervous system and person, all of which have caused, and continue to cause her permanent injury in her health and physical ability, and will cause plaintiff mental, physical, and nervous pain and suffering, fright, grief, anxiety, and apprehension, all to her general damage in an amount in excess of \$10,000.00.
- 35. As a legal result of the negligence and carelessness of defendants, plaintiff MILDRED VEASLEY was hurt and injured in her health, strength, and activity, sustaining injuries to her body and shock and injury to her nervous system and person, all of which have caused, and continue to cause plaintiff permanent injury in her health, and will cause

plaintiff mental, physical, and nervous pain and suffering, fright, grief, anxiety, and apprehension, all to her general damage in an amount in excess of \$10,000.00.

- 36. As a further legal cause of the negligence and carelessness on the part of defendants, plaintiffs were each required to and did incur medical, nursing, and incidental expenses and were each further required to employ the services of friends and family members to provide nursing and related services. The exact amount of such expenses are unknown to plaintiffs at this time inasmuch as the same is continuing and plaintiffs will seek leave of the court to prove said amount at the time of trial.
- 37. As a further legal result of the negligence and carelessness on the part of defendants, plaintiff B. VEASLEY was permanently hurt and injured in her health and physical ability, thereby causing a loss of future earnings, all to her damage in an amount to be proven at the time of trial.
- 38. As a further legal result of the negligence and carelessness on the part of defendants, plaintiff B. VEASLEY was permanently hurt and injured in her health and physical ability, thereby creating the need for future care, both medical and life care needs, all to her damage in an amount to be proven at the time of trial.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Negligent Infliction of Emotional Distress)

- 39. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 38, herein above, as though set forth fully herein.
- 40. Plaintiff MS. VEASLEY is the mother of plaintiff B. VEASLEY. Plaintiff MS. VEASLEY retained the services of defendants, and each of them, to handle the circumstances of her prenatal care, her labor, and her delivery. She was awake during the traumatic delivery of her child on January 30, 2009. As a result of the negligence of the defendants, and each of them, plaintiff MS. VEASLEY witnessed, observed, and experienced injuries caused when defendants failed to address her medical needs, and those of B. VEASLEY, in a non-negligent manner during the final weeks of her pregnancy. Furthermore, she observed and witnessed the injuries being inflicted on her child and was

then aware that they were as a result of the negligence of the defendants. Defendants, and each of them, breached the duty arising from their professional and fiduciary relationship with plaintiff MS. VEASLEY.

- 41. As a direct result of the conduct of the defendants, and each of them, plaintiff MS. VEASLEY has suffered severe emotional and psychological distress as a result of the sensory and contemporaneous observation of her and her child's injuries.
- 42. As a further direct result of the aforementioned conduct of defendants, and each of them, plaintiff MS. VEASLEY has suffered, and continues to suffer, injury to her health, strength, and activity, and continues to suffer severe psychological and emotional injury, shock, chagrin, worry, fright, apprehension, and depression of a permanent and lasting nature.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, plaintiffs pray judgment against defendant as follows:

- For general and special damages as to plaintiffs MILDRED VEASLEY and B. 1. VEASLEY in an amount to be proven at the time of trial;
 - 2. For future medical and life care expenses;
 - 3. For pre-judgment interest as allowed by law;
 - 4. For costs of suit incurred herein, and
 - 5. For such other and further relief as the court may deem just and proper.

DATED: December 21, 2012

WALTON LAW FIRM

By: s/Randall R. Walton Randall R. Walton Attorney for Plaintiffs

JS 44 (Rev. 09/11)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Veasley, Brianna Veasley, Mildred			· · · · · · ·	DEFENDANTS United States of America						<u>. =</u> .
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff San Diego (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Randall R. Walton, Esq., WALTON LAW FIRM 338 Via Vera Cruz, Suite 250, San Marcos, CA 92078.				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.						
				Attorneys (If Known)		'12CV3053 WQHWVG				
Ph: (760) 571-5500 II. BASIS OF JURISDI	ICTION (Place an "X" is	ı One Box Only)	III. C	ITIZENSHIP O)F PF	RINCIPA	AL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in	One Box j	for Plaintiff,
U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government N	iot a Party)	Citiz	(For Diversity Cases C	Only) PTI D		Incorporated or Pr of Business In Thi		or Defend PTF 4	ant) DEF 4
	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship	o of Parties in Item III)	Citiz	en of Another State	0	2 🗗 2	Incorporated and I of Business In		5	□ 5
				en or Subject of a preign Country	0	3 🗇 3	Foreign Nation		□ 6	O 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT			T PA	ODDETE DE DENAT	TV [PA1	NEDITORY	1 отника	STATE	rec
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury Med. Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities Other 448 Education	PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury Product Liability Product Liability Personal Injury Product Liability Product Liability PERSONAL PROPEI 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 785 Property Damage 785 Property Damage 785 Product Liability PRISONER PETTIO 510 Motions to Vaca Sentence Habeas Corpus: 530 General 535 Death Penalty 540 Mandamus & Ot 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	e e e 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	ORFEITURE/PENAI 25 Drug Related Seizur of Property 21 USC 90 Other LABOR 10 Fair Labor Standard Act 20 Labor/Mgmt. Relati 40 Railway Labor Act 51 Family and Medical Leave Act 90 Other Labor Litigati 91 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act IMMIGRATION 62 Naturalization Appl 63 Habeas Corpus - Alien Detainee (Prisoner Petition) 65 Other Immigration Actions	e 881	342 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 424 USC 157 425 USC 158		OTHER STATUTES 375 False Claims Act 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antirust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 893 Environmental Matters 895 Freedom of Information Act 896 Arbitration 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes		
		Remanded from (Appellate Court		nstated or U 3		erred from r district	☐ 6 Multidist			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Brief description of ca	n 1346(b)				tutes unless	diversity):			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		IS A CLASS ACTIO		DEMAND \$			CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND		n compla	
VIII. RELATED CASI	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE				DOCK	ET NUMBER			
DATE		SIGNATURE OF A	TTORNEY	OF RECORD						
12/21/2012		s/Randall R. V	Valton							
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT # A!	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUE	OGE		MAG. Л	JDGE		